



Strathprints Institutional Repository

Wilson, Kirsty and Kennedy, Alan and Murray, Jane and Greatex, Ben and Jamieson, Craig and Watson, Allan (2016) Scope and limitations of a DMF bio-alternative within Sonogashira cross-coupling and Cacchi-type annulation. Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry, 12. pp. 2005-2011. ISSN 1860-5397 , <http://dx.doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.12.187>

This version is available at <http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/57887/>

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: strathprints@strath.ac.uk

Scope and limitations of a DMF bio-alternative within Sonogashira cross-coupling and Cacchi-type annulation

Kirsty L. Wilson¹, Alan R. Kennedy¹, Jane Murray², Ben Greatrex³, Craig Jamieson¹ and Allan J. B. Watson^{*1}

Full Research Paper

Open Access

Address:

¹Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, WestCHEM, University of Strathclyde, Thomas Graham Building, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow, G1 1XL, UK, ²Sigma-Aldrich, The Old Brickyard, New Road, Gillingham, Dorset, SP8 4XT, UK and ³School of Science and Technology, University of New England, Armidale, Australia, 2351

Email:

Allan J. B. Watson^{*} - allan.watson.100@strath.ac.uk

^{*} Corresponding author

Keywords:

Cacchi annulation; cross-coupling; heterocycles; Sonogashira; sustainable solvent

Beilstein J. Org. Chem. **2016**, *12*, 2005–2011.

doi:10.3762/bjoc.12.187

Received: 18 July 2016

Accepted: 22 August 2016

Published: 08 September 2016

This article is part of the Thematic Series "Green chemistry".

Guest Editor: L. Vaccaro

© 2016 Wilson et al.; licensee Beilstein-Institut.

License and terms: see end of document.


Abstract

Pd-catalysed C–C bond formation is an essential tool within the pharmaceutical and agrochemical industries. Many of these reactions rely heavily on polar aprotic solvents; however, despite their utility, these solvents are incompatible with the drive towards more sustainable chemical synthesis. Herein, we describe the scope and limitations of an alternative to DMF derived from renewable sources (CyreneTM) in Sonogashira cross-coupling and Cacchi-type annulations.

Introduction

The Sonogashira reaction [1,2] (Scheme 1) is a robust and broadly applicable Pd-catalysed bond-forming process that, alongside the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction [3], has steadily become an indispensable tool for C–C bond formation in the pharmaceutical industry [4]. While the Sonogashira reaction can be effec-

tively carried out in a variety of media [1,2], in the general sense this process clearly relies upon the use of dipolar aprotic solvents, in particular DMF. Indeed, some 41% of all Sonogashira reactions reported using aryl iodides can be linked to the use of DMF as a solvent [5].



Scheme 1: The Sonogashira reaction.

In this context, the sustainability movement within pharmaceutical research and development strives to substitute solvents that have regulatory and environmental issues for those with a lower perceived risk. Indeed, solvent replacement has been designated a key research area with numerous pharmaceutical companies detailing their efforts towards a more sustain-

able solvent selection as part of their overall sustainability programmes [6–23].

Based on its associated regulatory issues [24], it is perhaps no surprise that DMF continues to be a priority solvent for replacement. With legislation surrounding the use of DMF becoming increasingly stringent [24], numerous efforts have been made towards the use of alternative media in the Sonogashira reaction [25–30]. However, notwithstanding its issues, DMF is an excellent solvent for the Sonogashira reaction and its replacement frequently occurs at the expense of increased temperature (and therefore potentially substrate compatibility), reaction time, catalyst loading or the requirement for non-commercial/expensive catalysts, and yield [25–30]. Consequently, poor choice of solvent replacement can result in one of industry's workhorse reactions becoming rather less predictable and robust.

In this regard, dihydrolevoglucosenone (Cyrene, Figure 1), accessed in two steps from cellulose [31,32], has been shown to possess similar physical properties to those of DMF and other dipolar aprotic solvents [31,32]. In addition to its renewability, Cyrene, as yet, has no associated pernicious effects and could potentially represent a direct and functional replacement in many of the fundamental reactions that typically employ DMF [31,32]. The replacement of solvents with regulatory issues with bio-derived alternatives has provided a series of advances within the cross-coupling arena [33], allowing efficient C–C bond formation via cornerstone Pd-based methods including Suzuki–Miyaura [34,35], Mizoroki–Heck [36,37], Sonogashira [38], Stille [39], Hiyama reactions [40], and hydroformylation reactions [41].



 <p>Cyrene</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • bp: 203 °C • Density: 1.25 g/mL • Dipolarity (π^*): 0.93 	 <p>DMF</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • bp: 153 °C • Density: 0.94 g/mL • Dipolarity (π^*): 0.88
--	---

Figure 1: Cyrene vs. DMF – selected physical properties [31,32].

In the current study, we present the use of Cyrene as an alternative solvent (direct DMF replacement) for the Sonogashira reaction, as well as related Cacchi-type annulations [42,43], with an emphasis on scope and limitations of its application.

Results and Discussion

To explore the use of Cyrene in the context of the Sonogashira cross-coupling, we established a simple benchmark reaction

using iodobenzene (**1a**) and phenylacetylene (**2a**) (Table 1). A typical literature-derived catalyst system was employed (Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ with CuI additive [44,45]) and conversion to diphenylacetylene (**3a**) was monitored.

Table 1: Reaction optimisation and comparison with existing solvents.^a

$\text{Ph-I} + \text{Ph} \xrightarrow[\text{base (x equiv), Cyrene, } T (^{\circ}\text{C}), t (\text{h})]{\text{Pd(PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2 (2 \text{ mol } \%), \text{CuI (4 mol } \%)}$ <p style="text-align: center;">1a 2a (1.05 equiv) 3a</p>		
Entry	Reaction conditions	3a (%) ^b
1	0.1 M, Et ₃ N (3 equiv), 20 °C, 5 h	94
2	0.3 M, Et ₃ N (3 equiv), 20 °C, 5 h	98
3	0.5 M, Et ₃ N (3 equiv), 20 °C, 5 h	100
4	0.5 M, K ₃ PO ₄ (3 equiv), 20 °C, 5 h	— ^c
5	0.5 M, Cs ₂ CO ₃ (3 equiv), 20 °C, 5 h	— ^c
7	0.5 M, Et ₃ N (1.1 equiv), 20 °C, 5 h	98
8	0.5 M, Et ₃ N (1.1 equiv), 30 °C, 1 h	96
9 ^d	0.5 M, Et ₃ N (1.1 equiv), 30 °C, 1 h	81
10 ^e	0.5 M, Et ₃ N (1.1 equiv), 30 °C, 1 h	87

^a**1** (1 equiv, 0.25 mmol), **2** (1.05 equiv, 0.26 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (2 mol %), CuI (4 mol %), base (see table), Cyrene, temperature (see table), time (see table), N₂. ^bIsolated yield. ^cReaction mixture solidified, product was not isolated. ^dTHF used as solvent. ^eDMF used as solvent.

Pleasingly, high conversion to product was immediately observed at room temperature in 5 h (94%, Table 1, entry 1). This high conversion was consistent across several reaction concentrations (Table 1, entries 2 and 3) allowing for a reduction in solvent volume, commensurate with the principles of green chemistry [46,47].

In attempts to further limit waste, we scanned a series of bases (see Supporting Information File 1); organic bases consistently performed more effectively and alternatives to Et₃N provided no significant advantages. However, during this process we identified some potential limitations of this emerging solvent. Specifically, inorganic bases such as K₃PO₄ and Cs₂CO₃ (Table 1, entries 4 and 5) resulted in the generation of a solid reaction mixture. Further analysis revealed that the aldol products **4a** and **4b** (Figure 2) were generated under specific reaction conditions.

The manufacturers note that when using Cyrene, materials to avoid are strong acids, and strong oxidising and reducing agents. Since sensitivity to base was not specified, we surveyed a range of bases at various temperatures to evaluate the limitations of Cyrene under such conditions (Table 2).




Figure 2: Aldol products **4a** and **4b** and single crystal X-ray structure of **4b**.

Table 2: Evaluation of the base sensitivity of Cyrene.^a

Entry	Base	Temp. (°C)	Reaction (Y/N) ^b
1	KOAc	25	N
		50	Y
		100	Y
2	Pyridine	25	N
		50	Y
		100	Y
3	K ₂ CO ₃	25	Y
		50	Y
		100	Y
4	DIPEA	25	N
		50	N
		100	Y
5	Cs ₂ CO ₃	25	Y
		50	Y
		100	Y
6	Et ₃ N	25	N
		50	N
		100	Y
7	K ₃ PO ₄	25	Y
		50	Y
		100	Y
8	DBU	25	Y
		50	Y
		100	Y
9	KOH	25	Y
		50	Y
		100	Y
10	<i>t</i> -BuOK	25	Y
		50	Y
		100	Y
11	NaH	25	Y
		50	Y
		100	Y


^aReaction conditions: base (0.07 mmol) and Cyrene (0.5 mL) stirred at the indicated temperature for 24 h before analysis by TLC and ¹H NMR. ^bY = reaction occurs, N = no reaction. See Supporting Information File 1.

Under these specific reaction conditions, with the exception of Et₃N and DIPEA, there was a clear base sensitivity displayed by Cyrene in the presence of all bases when the temperature was elevated above 25 °C. Organic bases such as pyridine (Table 2, entry 2), DIPEA (Table 2, entry 4), and Et₃N (Table 2, entry 6) were tolerated at 25 °C with DIPEA and Et₃N also tolerated at 50 °C. DBU, however, was not tolerated at any temperature (Table 2, entry 8). With the exception of KOAc (Table 2, entry 1), all inorganic bases resulted in reaction with the solvent at room temperature (Table 2, entries 3, 5, 7, and 9–11). The extent of the reaction varied from the generation of additional components, such as **4a** and **4b**, to gelation or complete solidification of the reaction mixture. However, in a moderately basic reaction mixture (e.g., using Et₃N) at mild reaction temperatures this issue could be entirely avoided. As such, optimisation of the Sonogashira process allowed complete conversion and 96% isolated yield in 1 h at 30 °C (Table 1, entry 8). Importantly, the Cyrene-based system compared very favourably upon comparison with standard solvents (THF and DMF; Table 1, entries 9 and 10, respectively).

Continuing with the primary investigation and with an optimised set of reaction conditions, we sought to explore the generality of Cyrene in the Sonogashira cross-coupling (Scheme 2). Significantly, a broad range of functionalised aryl and heteroaryl iodides were tolerated (Scheme 2a).

In addition, electron-deficient aryl bromides were accommodated, although with some variation in yield (**3c**, **3l**, **3o**, **3n**). Functionality on the alkyne component was also typically well tolerated (Scheme 2b). While **3i** and **3j** required an extended reaction time, this was a substrate-specific problem for the use of **2a** with these *ortho*-substituted aryl iodides that was not apparent for other alkyne/*ortho*-substituted iodoarene combinations (Scheme 2c).


Judicious selection of reacting components also enabled the development of a useful Cacchi-type annulation (Scheme 3)



Scheme 2: Cyrene-based Sonogashira cross-coupling: Substrate scope. Isolated yields. ^aYield using DMF as solvent. ^b2 equiv of Et₃N used. ^c24 h reaction time.

[42,43]. Specifically, employing *ortho*-amino (**5**) or *ortho*-hydroxyaryl iodides (**6**) in the Sonogashira process generated an alkyne intermediate that, upon increasing the reaction temperature from 30 °C to 60 °C, could undergo 5-*endo*-dig cyclisation to forge functionalised and pharmaceutically relevant indole, benzofuran, and aza-indole scaffolds in a single operation (**7a–f**) [48–52].

Finally, with the viewpoint of generality of DMF substitution by Cyrene, the base/temperature sensitivity issue may have potential implications for further applications of Cyrene within well-used organic transformations. For example, the majority of many other standard cross-coupling processes employ inorganic or organic bases and heat (e.g., Suzuki–Miyaura, Heck). Accordingly, Cyrene may be projected to be incompatible with



Scheme 3: Cacchi-type annulation of *o*-amino/hydroxy iodoarenes. Isolated yields. ^aYield using DMF as solvent.

standard conditions for these reactions and its use would necessitate base-free or exceptionally mildly basic reaction conditions. In contrast, amide-bond formation is the most practiced reaction in the pharmaceutical industry [4] and these are routinely performed in DMF at room temperature in the presence of organic bases [53]. As such, Cyrene may offer considerable potential in this area. However, additional work will be required to validate the practicality of Cyrene as a viable DMF replacement in these applications.

Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a mild and robust method for the Sonogashira reaction, employing the bio-derived and sustainable alternative to DMF, Cyrene. In addition, we have shown the capacity for extension of the utility of this new solvent towards enabling the cascade synthesis of functionalised indoles and benzofurans via a Cacchi-type annulation. Perhaps more importantly, we have documented some of the limitations of the use of Cyrene as a solvent, providing guidance emerging in relation to the thermal and chemical (base) stabilities of this promising green solvent.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

Experimental procedures, analytical data, copies of NMR spectra, and single X-ray crystal diffraction data of **4b**.

[<http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/supplementary/1860-5397-12-187-S1.pdf>]

Acknowledgements

"Sigma-Aldrich Company Limited" is a subsidiary of Merck KGaA. We thank the University of Strathclyde for a PhD studentship (KLW), Sigma-Aldrich for financial and material support, Circa for Cyrene, and the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility at Swansea University for analyses.

References

- Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5084–5121. doi:10.1039/c1cs15071e
- Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 874–922. doi:10.1021/cr050992x
- Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457–2483. doi:10.1021/cr00039a007
- Roughley, S. D.; Jordan, A. M. *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 3451–3479. doi:10.1021/jm200187y
- A SciFinder search of Sonogashira reaction of aryl iodides revealed that of 341979 reactions, 140997 were performed in DMF. Search conducted 7th June 2016.
- Breeden, S. W.; Clark, J. H.; Macquarrie, D. J.; Sherwood, J. Green solvents. In *Green Techniques for Organic Synthesis and Medicinal Chemistry*; Zhang, W.; Cue, B. W., Jr., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, United Kingdom, 2012.
- Prat, D.; Hayler, J.; Wells, A. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16*, 4546–4551. doi:10.1039/C4GC01149J
- Eastman, H. E.; Jamieson, C.; Watson, A. J. B. *Aldrichimica Acta* **2015**, *48*, 51–55.
- Curzons, A. D.; Constable, D. C.; Cunningham, V. L. *Clean Prod. Process.* **1999**, *1*, 82–90.
- Jiménez-González, C.; Curzons, A. D.; Constable, D. J. C.; Cunningham, V. L. *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy* **2004**, *7*, 42–50. doi:10.1007/s10098-004-0245-z
- Constable, D. J. C.; Dunn, P. J.; Hayler, J. D.; Humphrey, G. R.; Leazer, J. L., Jr.; Linderman, R. J.; Lorenz, K.; Manley, J.; Pearlman, B. A.; Wells, A.; Zaks, A.; Zhang, T. Y. *Green Chem.* **2007**, *9*, 411–420. doi:10.1039/B703488C
- Alfonsi, K.; Colberg, J.; Dunn, P. J.; Fevig, T.; Jennings, S.; Johnson, T. A.; Kleine, H. P.; Knight, C.; Nagy, M. A.; Perry, D. A.; Stefaniak, M. *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10*, 31–36. doi:10.1039/B711717E
- <http://www.acs.org/content/dam/acsorg/greenchemistry/industriainnovation/roundtable/solvent-selection-guide.pdf>. AstraZeneca's guidance.
- Henderson, R. K.; Jiménez-González, C.; Constable, D. J. C.; Alston, S. R.; Inglis, G. G. A.; Fisher, G.; Sherwood, J.; Binks, S. P.; Curzons, A. D. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13*, 854–862. doi:10.1039/c0gc00918k
- Prat, D.; Pardigon, O.; Flemming, H.-W.; Letestu, S.; Ducandas, V.; Isnard, P.; Guntrum, E.; Senac, T.; Ruisseau, S.; Cruciani, P.; Hosek, P. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2013**, *17*, 1517–1525. doi:10.1021/op4002565

16. Byrne, F. P.; Jin, S.; Paggiola, G.; Petchey, T. H. M.; Clark, J. H.; Farmer, T. J.; Hunt, A. J.; McElroy, C. R.; Sherwood, J. *Sustainable Chem. Processes* **2016**, *4*, 7. doi:10.1186/s40508-016-0051-z
17. Alder, C. M.; Hayler, J. D.; Henderson, R. K.; Redman, A. M.; Shukla, L.; Shuster, L. E.; Sneddon, H. F. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 3879–3890. doi:10.1039/C6GC00611F
18. Chandrasekhar, S.; Narsihmulu, C.; Shameem Sultana, S.; Ramakrishna Reddy, N. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 4399–4401. doi:10.1021/ol0266976
19. MacMillan, D. S.; Murray, J.; Sneddon, H. F.; Jamieson, C.; Watson, A. J. B. *Green Chem.* **2012**, *14*, 3016–3019. doi:10.1039/c2gc36378j
20. Taygerly, J. P.; Miller, L. M.; Yee, A.; Peterson, E. A. *Green Chem.* **2012**, *14*, 3020–3025. doi:10.1039/c2gc36064k
21. MacMillan, D. S.; Murray, J.; Sneddon, H. F.; Jamieson, C.; Watson, A. J. B. *Green Chem.* **2013**, *15*, 596–600. doi:10.1039/c2gc36900a
22. McGonagle, F. I.; MacMillan, D. S.; Murray, J.; Sneddon, H. F.; Jamieson, C.; Watson, A. J. B. *Green Chem.* **2013**, *15*, 1159–1165. doi:10.1039/c3gc40359a
23. Skowerski, K.; Bialecki, J.; Tracz, A.; Olszewski, T. K. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16*, 1125–1130. doi:10.1039/C3GC41943F
24. European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern for Authorisation. <http://echa.europa.eu/candidate-list-table> (accessed May 23, 2016).
25. Fleckenstein, C. A.; Plenio, H. *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10*, 563–570. doi:10.1039/b800154e
26. Bakherad, M. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2013**, *27*, 125–140. doi:10.1002/aoc.2931
27. Ibrahim, M. B.; Ali, B. E.; Malik, I.; Fettouhi, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2016**, *57*, 554–558. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2015.12.086
28. Gonçalves, R. S. B.; de Oliveira, A. B. V.; Sindra, H. C.; Archanzo, B. S.; Mendoza, M. E.; Carneiro, L. S. A.; Buarque, C. D.; Esteves, P. M. *ChemCatChem* **2016**, *8*, 743–750. doi:10.1002/cctc.201500926
29. Camp, J. E.; Dunsford, J. J.; Dacosta, O. S. G.; Blundell, R. K.; Adams, J.; Britton, J.; Smith, R. J.; Bousfield, T. W.; Fay, M. W. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 16115–16121. doi:10.1039/C5RA25712C
30. McAfee, S. M.; Cann, J. R.; Josse, P.; Blanchard, P.; Cabanetos, C.; Welch, G. C. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *4*, 3504–3517. doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b00554
31. Sherwood, J.; De Bruyn, M.; Constantinou, A.; Moity, L.; McElroy, C. R.; Farmer, T. J.; Duncan, T.; Raverty, W.; Hunt, A. J.; Clark, J. H. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 9650–9652. doi:10.1039/C4CC04133J
32. Koseki, K.; Ebata, T.; Kawakami, H.; Matsushita, H.; Itoh, K.; Naoi, Y. Method of producing (S)-4-hydroxymethyl-γ-lactone. U.S. Patent 5112994, May 12, 1992.
33. Ilgen, F.; König, B. *Green Chem.* **2009**, *11*, 848–854. doi:10.1039/b816551c
34. Azua, A.; Mata, J. A.; Heymes, P.; Peris, E.; Lamaty, F.; Martinez, J.; Colacino, E. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 1107–1116. doi:10.1002/adsc.201201047
35. Wan, J.-P.; Wang, C.; Zhou, R.; Liu, Y. *RSC Adv.* **2012**, *2*, 8789–8792. doi:10.1039/c2ra21632a
36. Strappaveccia, G.; Ismalaj, E.; Petrucci, C.; Lanari, D.; Marrocchi, A.; Drees, M.; Facchetti, A.; Vaccaro, L. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 365–372. doi:10.1039/C4GC01677G
37. Rasina, D.; Kahler-Quesada, A.; Ziarelli, S.; Warratz, S.; Cao, H.; Santoro, S.; Ackermann, L.; Vaccaro, L. *Green Chem.*, in press. doi:10.1039/C6GC01393G
38. Strappaveccia, G.; Luciani, L.; Bartollini, E.; Marrocchi, A.; Pizzo, F.; Vaccaro, L. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 1071–1076. doi:10.1039/C4GC01728E
39. Imperato, G.; Vasold, R.; König, B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 2243–2247. doi:10.1002/adsc.200600248
40. Ismalaj, E.; Strappaveccia, G.; Ballerini, E.; Elisei, F.; Piermatti, O.; Gelman, D.; Vaccaro, L. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2014**, *2*, 2461–2464. doi:10.1021/sc5004727
41. Pongrácz, P.; Kollár, L.; Mika, L. T. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 842–847. doi:10.1039/C5GC01778E
42. Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, PR215–PR283. doi:10.1021/cr100403z
43. Humphrey, G. R.; Kuethe, J. T. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 2875–2911. doi:10.1021/cr0505270
44. Stuart, D. R.; Bertrand-Laperle, M.; Burgess, K. M. N.; Fagnou, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16474–16475. doi:10.1021/ja806955s
45. Chen, X.; Wu, Y.; Xu, J.; Yao, H.; Lin, A.; Huang, Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 9186–9189. doi:10.1039/C5OB01338K
46. Anastas, P. T.; Warner, J. C. *Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice*; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, U.S.A., 1998; p 30.
47. Anastas, P.; Eghbali, N. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 301–312. doi:10.1039/B918763B
48. Heinrich, T.; Seenisamy, J.; Emmanuvel, L.; Kulkarni, S. S.; Bomke, J.; Rohdich, F.; Greiner, H.; Esdar, C.; Krier, M.; Grädler, U.; Musil, D. *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *56*, 1160–1170. doi:10.1021/jm3016014
49. Hong, S.; Kim, J.; Seo, J. H.; Jung, K. H.; Hong, S.-S.; Hong, S. *J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *55*, 5337–5349. doi:10.1021/jm3002982
50. Hong, S.; Lee, S.; Kim, B.; Lee, H.; Hong, S.-S.; Hong, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20*, 7212–7215. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.10.108
51. Tang, J.; Hamajima, T.; Nakano, M.; Sato, H.; Dickerson, S. H.; Lackey, K. E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 4610–4614. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.07.019
52. Seath, C. P.; Wilson, K. L.; Campbell, A.; Mowat, J. M.; Watson, A. J. B. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 8703–8706. doi:10.1039/C6CC04554E
53. El-Faham, A.; Albericio, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 6557–6602. doi:10.1021/cr100048w

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions: (<http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc>)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at:
[doi:10.3762/bjoc.12.187](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.12.187)

Supporting Information
for
Scope and limitations of a DMF bio-alternative within
Sonogashira cross-coupling and Cacchi-type annulation

Kirsty L. Wilson,¹ Alan R. Kennedy,¹ Jane Murray,² Ben Greatrex,³ Craig Jamieson¹ and Allan J. B. Watson*¹

Address: ¹Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, WestCHEM, University of Strathclyde, Thomas Graham Building, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow, G1 1XL, UK; ²Sigma-Aldrich, The Old Brickyard, New Road, Gillingham, Dorset, SP8 4XT, UK and ³School of Science and Technology, University of New England, Armidale, Australia, 2351

Email: Allan J. B. Watson* - allan.watson.100@strath.ac.uk

*Corresponding author

**Experimental procedures, analytical data, copies of NMR spectra, and
single X-ray crystal diffraction data of 4b**

Contents

1. General
 - 1.1 Purification of solvents
 - 1.2 Purification and drying of bases
 - 1.3 Experimental details
 - 1.4 Purification of products
 - 1.5 Analysis of products
2. General experimental procedures
3. Reaction optimization data
 - 3.1 Variation of concentration
 - 3.2 Variation of the base
 - 3.3 Variation of time and temperature
 - 3.4 Variation of solvent
4. Base sensitivity study
5. Compound characterization data
 - 5.1 Preparation of intermediates
 - 5.2 Product from Table 1
 - 5.3 Products from Scheme 2a
 - 5.4 Products from Scheme 2b
 - 5.5 Products from Scheme 2c
 - 5.6 Cyrene homo-aldol adducts, **4a** and **4b**
 - 5.7 Products from Scheme 3
6. Crystallographic data for compound **4a**
7. References
8. NMR spectra for intermediates and products
9. NMR evidence for the evaluation of the base sensitivity

1. General

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Purification was carried out according to standard laboratory methods.¹

1.1 Purification of solvents

Cyrene was supplied directly by Circa and used as obtained. DMF was dried by heating to reflux over previously activated 4 Å molecular sieves and distilling under vacuum before being purged with, and stored under N₂ in a septum-sealed oven-dried flask over previously activated 4 Å molecular sieves. THF was obtained from a PureSolv SPS-400-5 solvent purification system and transferred to and stored in a septum-sealed oven-dried flask over previously activated 4 Å molecular sieves and purged with and stored under N₂. CH₂Cl₂, Et₂O, EtOAc, MeCN, and petroleum ether 40–60 °C for purification purposes were used as obtained from suppliers without further purification.

1.2 Purification and drying of bases

Et₃N was dried by heating to reflux over previously activated 4 Å molecular sieves and distilling under vacuum before being purged with, and stored under N₂ in a septum-sealed oven-dried flask over previously activated 4 Å molecular sieves. Inorganic bases were dried in a Heraeus Vacutherm oven at 60 °C under vacuum for a minimum of 24 h before use.

1.3 Experimental details

Reactions were carried out using conventional glassware (preparation of **S1** and **S2**) or in sealed 5 mL microwave vials (optimization reactions and reactions for Schemes 2 and 3). The glassware was oven-dried (150 °C) and purged with N₂ before use. Purging refers to a vacuum/nitrogen-refilling procedure. Room temperature was generally ca. 18 °C. Reactions were carried out at elevated temperatures using a temperature-regulated hotplate/stirrer.

1.4 Purification of products


Thin layer chromatography was carried out using Merck silica plates coated with fluorescent indicator UV254. These were analyzed under 254 nm UV light or developed using a vanillin solution. Normal phase flash chromatography was carried out using ZEOprep 60 HYD 40–63 µm silica gel. Reverse phase flash chromatography was carried out using IST Isolute C18 cartridges. Strong cation-exchange purification was carried out using an SCX cartridge.

1.5 Analysis of products

Fourier transformed infrared (FTIR) spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu IRAffinity-1 machine. ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F and ¹¹B NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker DRX 500 spectrometer (Avance III HD console, Ascend 500 MHz magnet, BBO smart probe) at 500 MHz, 126 MHz, 471 MHz and 160 MHz, respectively. ¹H NMR for the evaluation of the base sensitivity were obtained on a Bruker AV 400 at 400 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm and coupling constants are reported in Hz with CDCl₃ referenced at 7.26 (¹H) and 77.0 ppm (¹³C) and DMSO-d₆ referenced at 2.50 (¹H) and 39.5 (¹³C). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained through analysis at the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility at Swansea University or at Glasgow University's School of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Service. Crystal data was obtained at 123(2) K using an Oxford Diffraction Gemini instrument and monochromatic Mo radiation.

2. General experimental procedures

General Procedure A: Optimized conditions



For example, synthesis of 1,2-diphenylethyne, **3a**.

To an oven-dried 5 mL microwave vessel was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %) and CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %). The vessel was then capped and purged with N₂ before addition of Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), iodobenzene (27.9 μ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated to 30 °C and maintained at this temperature with stirring for 1 h before the vessel was vented, and decapped. The solution was then diluted with EtOAc (10 mL), and washed with water (2 \times 20 mL) and brine (2 \times 20 mL). The organics were then passed through a hydrophobic frit and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil, which was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (44.5 mg, quant.).

ν_{max} (solid): 3068, 1603, 1495, 1446 cm⁻¹.


¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.55 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.9 Hz, 4H), 7.36 (m, 6H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 131.6, 128.4, 128.3, 123.3, 89.4.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₄H₁₀) requires *m/z* 178.0782, found *m/z* 178.0784.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.²

General Procedure B: Synthesis of indoles and benzofuran



For example, synthesis of 2-phenyl-1-tosyl-1H-indole (**7a**).

To an oven-dried 5 mL microwave vessel was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), and N-(2-iodophenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (93 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv). The vessel was then capped and purged with N₂ before addition of Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (104 μ L, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated to 30 °C and maintained at this temperature with stirring for 1 h. The reaction was subsequently heated to 60 °C and maintained at this temperature for 6 h before the vessel was vented and decapped. The solution was then diluted with EtOAc (10 mL), and washed with water (2 \times 20 mL) and brine (2 \times 20 mL). The organics were then passed through a hydrophobic frit and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil, which was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0–15% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (78.4 mg, 90%).

ν_{max} (solid): 3073, 1368, 1169 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.33 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 144.5, 142.2, 138.3, 134.7, 132.4, 130.7, 130.4, 129.2, 128.7, 127.5, 126.8, 124.8, 124.3, 120.7, 116.7, 113.4, 21.5.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₂₁H₁₈NO₆S) requires *m/z* 348.1058, found *m/z* 348.1061.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.³

3. Reaction optimization data

3.1. Variation of concentration

Reactions were carried out according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (**X** M), Et₃N (104 μL, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), iodobenzene (27.9 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After stirring at 20 °C for 5 h, the reaction was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the desired compound as a white solid.

Entry	Concentration (M)	Volume (mL)	Isolated yield (%)
1	0.3	0.83	98
2	0.1	2.5	94
3	0.5	0.5	100

3.2. Variation of the base

Reactions were carried out according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), **Base** (**X** equiv), iodobenzene (27.9 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After stirring at 20 °C for 5 h, the reaction was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the desired compound as a white solid.

Entry	Base (mass)	Equiv	Isolated yield (%)
1 ^a	K ₃ PO ₄ (159 mg)	3	-
2 ^a	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (245 mg)	3	-
3	DIPEA (97 mg)	3	85
4	Pyridine (59 mg)	3	0
5	Et ₃ N (28 mg)	1.1	98
6	Et ₃ N (38 mg)	1.5	94
7	Et ₃ N (51 mg)	2	92

^a Formation of solid Cyrene dimer – product was not isolated

3.3. Variation of time and temperature

Reactions were carried out according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), iodobenzene (27.9 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After stirring at **X** °C for **X** h, the reaction was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the desired compound as a white solid.

Entry	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Isolated yield (%)
1	20	1	86
2	20	3	94
3	20	5	98
4	25	1	91
5	30	1	96

3.4. Variation of solvent

Reactions were carried out according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), **solvent** (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 µL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), iodobenzene (27.9 µL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 µL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After stirring at 30 °C for 1 h, the reaction was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the desired compound as a white solid.

Entry	Solvent	Isolated yield (%)
1	Cyrene	96
2	THF	81
3	DMF	87

4. Base sensitivity study

Base (0.07 mmol) was added to a test tube and Cyrene (0.5 mL) was added. The tube was then capped and the mixture stirred at **X** °C. After 24 h the reaction mixture was sampled and analysed by TLC (60% EtOAc in petroleum ether) and ¹H NMR and the resulting spectrum compared with that of Cyrene.


Base	Mass (mg)	Temperature (°C)	Reaction (Y/N)	Solid Formation
KOAc	7	25	N	x
		50	N	x
		100	N	x
Pyridine	6	25	N	x
		50	N	x
		100	N	x
K₂CO₃	10	25	N	x
		50	N	x
		100	Y	x
DIPEA	9	25	N	x
		50	N	x
		100	N	x
Cs₂CO₃	23	25	Y	√
		50	Y	√
		100	Y	√
Et₃N	7	25	N	x
		50	N	x
		100	N	x
K₃PO₄	15	25	Y	x
		50	Y	√
		100	Y	√
DBU	11	25	Y	√
		50	Y	√
		100	Y	√
KOH	4	25	Y	√

		50	Y	√
		100	Y	√
		25	Y	√
^tBuOK	8	50	Y	√
		100	Y	√
		25	Y	√
NaH	2	50	Y	√
		100	Y	√
		25	Y	√

5. Compound characterisation data

5.1. Preparation of intermediates

S1: N-(5-Chloro-2-iodophenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide




To a round-bottomed flask charged with 5-chloro-2-iodoaniline (1 g, 3.95 mmol, 1 equiv) was added a solution of 1:1 pyridine in CH_2Cl_2 (0.7 M, 40 mL) and the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C. 4-Methylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (750 mg, 3.95 mmol, 1 equiv) was added portionwise, and the reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and then stirred for 24 h. Upon completion of the reaction, water (80 mL) and CH_2Cl_2 (80 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was separated and the organics were washed with 1 N NaOH (2 × 40 mL), 1 N HCl (2 × 40 mL), and brine (2 × 40 mL). The organics were then passed through a hydrophobic frit and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude residue, which was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0–12% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (890 mg, 52%).

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.72–7.65 (m, 3H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.88–6.80 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 144.1, 139.1, 138.1, 135.1, 135.1, 129.4, 127.0, 126.4, 121.4, 88.3, 21.2.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁴

S2: N-(3-Iodo-5-nitropyridin-2-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide



Prepared in two steps from 3-iodo-5-nitropyridin-2-amine:

Step 1: To a 25 mL three-necked flask charged with 5-nitropyridin-2-amine (1 g, 7.1 mmol, 1 equiv), was added concentrated sulfuric acid (12 mL, 0.6 M) and potassium iodate (653 mg, 2.8 mmol, 0.4 equiv) portionwise, before subsequent heating to 200 °C. Potassium iodide (1.18 g, 7.1 mmol, 1 equiv) was then added dropwise as an aqueous solution (4 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 200 °C for 1.5 h. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature before the slow addition of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (20 mL) and EtOAc (20 mL). The reaction mixture was separated and the organics were washed with an aqueous solution of saturated $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (2 × 30 mL). The organics were then passed through a hydrophobic frit and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow solid, 3-iodo-5-nitropyridin-2-amine, which was used without further purification (1.64 g, 87 %).

Step 2: To a 100 mL round-bottomed flask charged with 3-iodo-5-nitropyridin-2-amine (1.29 g, 4.86 mmol, 1 equiv) was added THF (40 mL, 0.13 M) and the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C.

Sodium hydride (224 mg, 9.72 mmol, 2 equiv) was added portionwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 minutes. 4-Methylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (1.09 g, 4.86 mmol, 1 equiv) was added portion wise, and the reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and was stirred for 18 h. Upon completion of the reaction, water (50 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was separated and the organics washed with 1 M NaOH (2 × 50 mL), 1 M HCl (2 × 50 mL), and brine (2 × 50 mL). The organics were passed through a hydrophobic frit and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude residue, which was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0–30% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a yellow solid (1.43 g, 70%).

ν_{max} (solid): 3581, 3268, 3064, 2919, 1571, 1444, 1320 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500 MHz): δ 8.66 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 8.40 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.35 (bs, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H).


¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ 161.9, 145.0, 142.3, 140.9, 140.7, 134.7, 128.9, 127.4, 86.7, 21.4.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₂H₁₁IN₃O₄S) requires *m/z* 419.9509, found *m/z* 419.9510.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁴

5.2. Products from Table 1

3a: 1,2-Diphenylethyne



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), iodobenzene (27.9 μ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (44.5 mg, quant.).

ν_{max} (solid): 3068, 1603, 1495, 1446 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.55 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.9 Hz, 4H), 7.36 (m, 6H).


¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 131.6, 128.4, 128.3, 123.3, 89.4.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₄H₁₀) requires *m/z* 178.0782, found *m/z* 178.0784.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.²

5.3. Products from Scheme 2a

3b: 1-Fluoro-4-(phenylethynyl)benzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 4-fluoro-iodobenzene (28.8 μ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (48.8 mg, quant.).

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), DMF (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 4-fluoro-iodobenzene (28.8 μ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv).

After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (46.9 mg, 96%).

ν_{max} (solid): 2921, 1595, 1508, 1217 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.55–7.50 (m, 4H), 7.38–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.05 (t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H).


¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 162.5 (d, ¹*J*_{CF} = 249.6 Hz), 133.5 (d, ³*J*_{CF} = 8.2 Hz), 131.6, 128.4, 128.4, 123.3, 119.4 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.4 Hz), 115.7 (d, ²*J*_{CF} = 22.4 Hz), 89.1, 88.3.

¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 471 MHz): δ -110.98.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₄H₉F) requires *m/z* 196.0688, found *m/z* 196.0689.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁵

3c: 1-Nitro-4-(phenylethynyl)benzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 4-nitro-iodobenzene (62.3 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (48.8 mg, quant.).

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 4-nitro-bromobenzene (50.5 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (14.6 mg, 28%).

ν_{max} (solid): 3107, 2926, 2217, 1593, 1511 cm⁻¹.


¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.22 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.58–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 5.3, 1.8 Hz, 3H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 147.0, 132.3, 131.9, 130.4, 129.3, 128.6, 123.7, 122.1, 94.7, 87.6.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₄H₁₀NO₂) requires *m/z* 224.0712, found *m/z* 224.0714.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁵

3d: 1-Methoxy-4-(phenylethynyl)benzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 4-iodoanisole (58.5 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–15% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (51.9 mg, quant.).

ν_{max} (solid): 3014, 2841, 2217, 1509 cm⁻¹.


¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.51 (dt, *J* = 3.9, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 7.49–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.29 (m, 3H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 159.6, 133.1, 131.5, 128.3, 127.9, 123.6, 115.4, 114.0, 89.4, 88.1, 55.3.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{2M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{25}\text{O}_2$) requires m/z 417.1855, found m/z 417.1847.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁵

3e: 4-(Phenylethynyl)phenol



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (70 μL , 0.5 mmol, 2 equiv), 4-iodophenol (55 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–10% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (32.6 mg, 68%).

ν_{max} (solid): 3412, 3059, 1513, 1254 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.51 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (m, 3H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 155.7, 133.3, 131.5, 128.3, 127.9, 123.6, 115.7, 115.5, 89.2, 88.1.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}$) requires m/z 209.0966, found m/z 209.1008.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁶

3f: 1-Methoxy-3-(phenylethynyl)benzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 3-iodoanisole (29.8 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (51.4 mg, 99%).

ν_{max} (liquid film): 2937, 2838 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.57–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.35 (dd, J = 4.9, 2.4 Hz, 3H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 6.91 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 159.4, 131.7, 129.4, 128.4, 128.3, 124.3, 124.2, 123.2, 116.4, 114.9, 89.3, 89.2, 55.3.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{11}\text{O}$) requires m/z 195.0810, found m/z 195.0813.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.²

3g: 1-Chloro-3-(phenylethynyl)benzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 3-

chloro-iodobenzene (30.9 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (53.5 mg, 82%).

ν_{max} (liquid film): 3064, 2224, 884 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.55–7.51 (m, 3H), 7.41 (dt, J = 7.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, J = 4.9, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 7.31 (dt, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 134.4, 131.9, 131.6, 129.9, 129.8, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 125.2, 122.9, 90.7, 88.1.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}]$ ($\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_9\text{Cl}$) requires m/z 212.0393, found m/z 212.0395.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁷

3h: 1-Nitro-3-(phenylethynyl)benzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 3-nitro-iodobenzene (62.3 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (55.2 mg, 99%).

ν_{max} (solid): 3083, 2213, 1517, 1349 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 8.40–8.37 (m, 1H), 8.19 (m, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (m, 3H), 7.40 (m, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 148.2, 137.2, 131.8, 129.4, 129.1, 128.5, 126.4, 125.2, 122.9, 122.2, 91.9, 86.9.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{NO}_2$) requires m/z 224.0712, found m/z 224.0710.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁵

3i: 1-Methyl-2-(phenylethynyl)benzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodotoluene (31.8 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 24 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to purification by reverse phase chromatography (C_{18} cartridge, 20–65% MeCN in water) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (40 mg, 83%).


ν_{max} (liquid film): 3023, 2924, 2855, 2217, 1496 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.56–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (m, 3H), 7.24 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (m, 1H), 2.52 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 140.3, 131.9, 131.7, 129.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.3, 125.7, 123.7, 123.2, 93.5, 88.5, 20.9.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}]$ ($\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{12}$) requires m/z 192.0939, found m/z 192.0935.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁵

3j: 1-Chloro-2-(phenylethynyl)benzene

Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd(PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-chloro-iodobenzene (30.5 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 24 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to purification by reverse phase chromatography (C18 cartridge, 20–65% MeCN in water) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (54.9 mg, quant.).


ν_{max} (liquid film): 3060, 2926, 2224, 1495 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (DMSO-d_6 , 500 MHz): δ 7.69 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.58 (m, 3H), 7.48–7.41 (m, 5H).

^{13}C NMR (DMSO-d_6 , 126 MHz): δ 135.1, 133.8, 131.9, 130.9, 129.9, 129.8, 129.3, 127.9, 122.4, 122.3, 94.8, 86.4.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] ($\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_9\text{Cl}$) requires m/z 212.0393, found m/z 212.0385.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.²

3k: 2-(Phenylethynyl)thiophene

Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd(PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodothiophene (27.6 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (41.4 mg, 92%).


ν_{max} (liquid film): 3088, 2204 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.54–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.35 (dd, J = 5.2, 1.9 Hz, 3H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.02 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.8 Hz, 1H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 131.9, 131.4, 128.4, 128.4, 127.3, 127.1, 123.4, 122.9, 93.0, 82.6.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] ($\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_8\text{S}$) requires m/z 184.0347, found m/z 184.0348.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.²

3l: 2-Nitro-5-(phenylethynyl)pyridine

Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd(PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 5-bromo-2-nitropyridine (50.8 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–15% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (51.4 mg, 92%).


ν_{max} (solid): 3058, 2219, 1532, 1348 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 8.73 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.44–7.38 (m, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 154.8, 151.1, 141.8, 131.9, 129.8, 128.7, 126.7, 121.4, 117.7, 97.9, 84.2.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_9\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$) requires m/z 225.0664, found m/z 225.0670.

3m: 5-Chloro-2-(phenylethynyl)pyridine



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 3-chloro-6-iodopyridine (59.8 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–5% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (54.5 mg, quant.).


ν_{max} (solid): 3040, 2221, 1493, 1459 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 8.58 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.36 (m, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 149.1, 141.5, 136.0, 132.1, 131.3, 129.2, 128.5, 127.7, 121.9, 90.4, 87.6.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_9\text{NCl}$) requires m/z 214.0418, found m/z 214.0421.

3n: 2-(Phenylethynyl)-1,8-naphthyridine



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-bromo-1,8-naphthyridine (52.3 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–65% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (54.3 mg, 94%).


ν_{max} (solid): 3049, 3008, 2211, 1601, 1498 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 9.15 (s, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.69–7.64 (m, 3H), 7.48 (dd, J = 7.7, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 156.1, 154.3, 146.9, 137.2, 136.6, 132.4, 129.5, 128.5, 125.4, 122.3, 121.9, 91.6, 89.3. Quaternary carbon at ring junction not observed.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{11}\text{N}_2$) requires m/z 231.0922, found m/z 231.0923.

3o: 2-Chloro-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.5 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-bromo-6-chloropyridine (48 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the

General Procedure (silica gel, 0–10% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (52.3 mg, quant.).

ν_{max} (solid): 3059, 2960, 2226, 1577, 1435 cm⁻¹.


¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (q, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 3H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 151.4, 143.6, 138.7, 132.1, 129.3, 128.5, 125.7, 123.6, 121.8, 90.7, 87.5.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₃H₉NCl) requires *m/z* 214.0424, found *m/z* 214.0427.

¹H NMR and HRMS data is consistent with literature reported values.⁸

3p: 1-Methyl-5-(phenylethynyl)-1H-indole



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (2.6 mg, 0.004 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.4 mg, 0.007 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (28 μ L, 0.20 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 5-iodo-1-methyl-1H-indole (47 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (21 μ L, 0.19 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–10% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (27.7 mg, 67%).

ν_{max} (solid): 3051, 2926, 2208, 1597, 1496 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.57–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.41 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H).


¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 136.4, 131.5, 129.8, 128.4, 128.3, 127.7, 125.2, 124.8, 124.1, 113.8, 109.3, 101.3, 91.2, 87.0, 32.9.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₇H₁₃N) requires *m/z* 231.1048, found *m/z* 231.1057.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.⁹

5.4. Products from Scheme 2b

3q: Phenylethynylboronic acid, MIDA ester



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), iodobenzene (27.9 μ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–60% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (61.3 mg, 95%).

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), DMF (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), iodobenzene (27.9 μ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined

in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0-60% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (61.2 mg, 95%).

ν_{\max} (solid): 3025, 2198, 1768, 1493 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 500 MHz): δ 7.51–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.37 (m, 3H), 4.32 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 2H), 3.08 (s, 3H).


^{13}C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 126 MHz): δ 169.1, 132.0, 129.4, 129.1, 129.1, 122.9, 99.9, 61.9, 48.4. Carbon bearing boron not observed.

^{11}B NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 160 MHz): δ 6.24.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{NH}_4]^+$ ($\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{BN}_2\text{O}_4$) requires m/z 275.1202, found m/z 275.1198.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹⁰

3r: Trimethyl(phenylethynyl)silane



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyltrimethylsilane (37 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a colourless oil (44 mg, quant.).

ν_{\max} (liquid film): 2962, 2161, 1491, 1251 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.50–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 3H), 0.27 (s, 9H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 131.9, 128.5, 128.2, 123.1, 105.1, 94.1, -0.01.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}]$ ($\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{14}\text{Si}$) requires m/z 174.0865, found m/z 174.0866.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹¹

3s: 4-Phenylbut-3-yn-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and 3-butynyl-p-toluenesulfonate (46.3 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0-30% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a colourless oil (60.7 mg, 81%).

ν_{\max} (liquid film): 2924, 2980, 1493, 1361, 1176 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.79 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 4H), 4.16 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.75 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 144.9, 132.9, 131.7, 129.9, 128.2, 128.2, 127.9, 122.9, 83.8, 82.7, 67.8, 21.6, 20.4.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_3\text{SNa}$) requires m/z 323.0712, found m/z 323.0702.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹²

3t: N,N-Dimethyl-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-amine



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and dimethyl(prop-2-yne)amine (28 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to purification by SCX (MeOH in 3M ammonium MeOH) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (23.6 mg, 60%).

ν_{max} (liquid film): 3058, 2941, 2824, 2775, 1690, 1493 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.46–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 3H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 2.39 (s, 6H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 131.7, 128.3, 128.1, 123.2, 85.4, 84.4, 48.6, 44.2.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}$) requires m/z 160.1126, found m/z 160.1125.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹³

3u: Pent-1-yn-1-ylbenzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and 1-pentyne (25.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (34.5 mg, 96%).

ν_{max} (liquid film): 3058, 2963, 2934, 2872, 2237, 1601, 1491 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.32 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 7.22–7.17 (m, 3H), 2.31 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 1.56 (h, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 0.98 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 131.6, 128.2, 127.5, 124.1, 90.3, 80.7, 22.2, 21.4, 13.6.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}]$ ($\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{12}$) requires m/z 144.0939, found m/z 144.0941.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹⁴

3v: (Cyclopropylethynyl)benzene




Prepared according to General Procedure A using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (38 μL , 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL , 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynylcyclopropane (22 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et_2O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a colourless oil (30.3 mg, 85%).

ν_{max} (liquid film): 3034, 2924, 2219, 1597, 1513 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.42–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 3H), 1.47 (m, 1H), 0.91–0.87 (m, 2H), 0.83 (m, 2H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 131.6, 128.1, 127.4, 123.9, 93.4, 75.8, 8.6, 0.1.

Characterisation data is consistent with values reported in the literature.¹⁵

3w: Prop-1-yne-1,3-diylidibenzene

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and 3-phenyl-1-propyne (32.6 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a colourless oil (38.7 mg, 81%).


ν_{max} (liquid film): 3064, 3032, 2924, 1601, 1493 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.46 (dd, J = 6.5, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 3H), 7.26 (dd, J = 8.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 2H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 136.8, 131.7, 128.6, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 126.7, 123.7, 87.5, 82.7, 25.8.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₅H₁₂) requires m/z 192.0939, found m/z 192.0932.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹⁴

3x: (Cyclohex-1-en-1-ylethynyl)benzene

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and 1-ethynylcyclohexene (30.8 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (46.3 mg, quant.).


ν_{max} (liquid film): 3062, 2935, 2865, 2204, 1716, 1670 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.39 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 3H), 6.20–6.16 (m, 1H), 2.20 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.13–2.09 (m, 2H), 1.68–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.61–1.56 (m, 2H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 135.2, 131.4, 128.2, 127.7, 123.8, 120.8, 91.3, 86.8, 29.3, 25.8, 22.4, 21.6.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₄H₁₅) requires m/z 182.1095, found m/z 182.1102.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹⁵

3y: 1-Methyl-4-(phenylethynyl)benzene

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and p-tolylacetylene (33.2 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General

Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (46.9 mg, 98%).

ν_{\max} (liquid film): 3032, 2921, 2219, 1597, 1511 cm⁻¹.


¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.53 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 138.4, 131.6, 131.5, 129.1, 128.3, 128.1, 123.5, 120.2, 89.6, 88.7, 21.5.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₅H₁₂) requires *m/z* 192.0939, found *m/z* 192.0942.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.²

3z: 1-(Phenylethynyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and 2-ethynyltrifluorotoluene (36.5 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a colourless oil (53 mg, 86%).

ν_{\max} (liquid film): 3066, 2224, 1312 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.69 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (dd, *J* = 6.5, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.35 (m, 3H).


¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 133.7, 131.7, 131.4, 128.8, 128.4, 127.9, 125.9 (q, ³*J*_{CF} = 5.2 Hz), 123.6 (q, ¹*J*_{CF} = 273.5 Hz), 122.8, 121.6, 94.9, 85.4. Carbon bearing trifluoromethyl group not observed.

¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 471 MHz): δ -62.35.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₅H₉F₃) requires *m/z* 246.0656, found *m/z* 246.0654.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹⁶

3aa: 2-(Phenylethynyl)pyridine



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and 2-ethynylpyridine (26.5 μ L, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–15% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (43.3 mg, 97%).

ν_{\max} (liquid film): 3053, 2224, 1582, 1493, 1463 cm⁻¹.


¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.62 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (td, *J* = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd, *J* = 6.5, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.26–7.22 (m, 1H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 150.1, 143.5, 136.2, 132.1, 128.9, 128.4, 127.2, 122.8, 122.3, 89.2, 88.6.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+Na]⁺ (C₂₁H₁₈BF₃N₂O₆SNa) requires *m/z* 179.0735, found *m/z* 179.0731.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.²

3ab: 2-(Phenylethynyl)thiophene



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodobenzene (27.9 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and 2-ethynylthiophene (24.9 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (42.4 mg, 92%).

ν_{max} (liquid film): 3088, 2204 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.54–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.35 (m, 3H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.02 (t, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H).


¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 131.9, 131.4, 128.4, 128.4, 127.3, 127.1, 123.4, 122.9, 93.0, 82.6.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₂H₈S) requires m/z 184.0347, found m/z 184.0349.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.²

5.5. Products from Scheme 2c

3ac: 2-Acetyl phenylethynylboronic acid, MIDA ester



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodoacetophenone (35.8 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–100% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (66.5 mg, 89%).

ν_{max} (solid): 2960, 2193, 1770, 1684 cm⁻¹.


¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500 MHz): δ 7.79 (dd, J = 7.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (dd, J = 7.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (td, J = 7.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (td, J = 7.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 2H), 4.13 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 2H), 3.11 (s, 3H), 2.63 (s, 3H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ 200.1, 169.1, 141.2, 134.6, 131.9, 129.4, 129.2, 120.7, 98.6, 61.9, 48.4, 29.9. Carbon bearing boron not observed.

¹¹B NMR (DMSO-d₆, 160 MHz): δ 6.23.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+NH₄]⁺ (C₁₅H₁₈BN₂O₅) requires m/z 317.1305, found m/z 317.1303.

3ad: 2-Methyl-phenylethynylboronic acid, MIDA ester



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodotoluene (31.8 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–60% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (62.9 mg, 93%).

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), DMF (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodotoluene (31.8 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–60% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (62.3 mg, 92%).

ν_{\max} (solid): 3019, 2191, 1770, 1290, 1247 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500 MHz): δ 7.45 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.18 (m, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 2H), 3.09 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H).


¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ 169.2, 140.3, 132.4, 129.9, 129.3, 126.3, 122.7, 98.7, 61.9, 48.4, 20.8. Carbon bearing boron not observed.

¹¹B NMR (DMSO-d₆, 160 MHz): δ 6.37.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+NH₄]⁺ (C₁₄H₁₈BN₂O₄) requires m/z 289.1355, found m/z 289.1354.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹⁷

3ae: 2-Trifluoromethoxy-phenylethynylboronic acid, MIDA ester



Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-(trifluoromethoxy)iodobenzene (38.8 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–60% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (71.8 mg, 85%).

ν_{\max} (solid): 3016, 2922, 2965, 2198, 1772, 1217, 1024 cm⁻¹.


¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500 MHz): δ 7.69 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 16.7, 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.35 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 2H), 3.09 (s, 3H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ 169.0, 148.9, 134.5, 131.3, 128.3, 121.9, 120.6 (q, ¹J_{CF} = 257.4 Hz), 117.3, 93.5, 62.1, 48.3. Carbon bearing boron not observed.

¹¹B NMR (DMSO-d₆, 160 MHz): δ 6.29.

¹⁹F NMR (DMSO-d₆, 471 MHz): δ -56.54.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₄H₁₂BF₃NO₅) requires m/z 342.0763, found m/z 342.0767.

3af: Triisopropyl((2-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)ethynyl)silane

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-(trifluoromethoxy)iodobenzene (38.8 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and (triisopropylsilyl)acetylene (58.9 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a colourless oil (58.3 mg, 68%).


ν_{max} (liquid film): 2947, 2868, 2167, 1491, 1258, 1219, 1169 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.47 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.14 (m, 2H), 1.06 (s, 21H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 149.8, 134.1, 129.4, 126.6, 121.2, 120.6 (q, ¹J_{CF} = 258.1 Hz), 118.3, 100.4, 97.1, 18.5, 11.2.

¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -57.50.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M] (C₁₈H₂₅F₃SiO) requires m/z 342.1627, found m/z 342.1626.

3ag: 2-((Triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)aniline

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-iodoaniline (54.8 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and (triisopropylsilyl)acetylene (58.9 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–10% Et₂O in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (45.3 mg, 66%).


ν_{max} (liquid film): 3487, 3388, 2945, 2867, 2146, 1616, 1318 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.31 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.09 (m, 1H), 6.71–6.64 (m, 2H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 1.14 (s, 21H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ 148.3, 132.4, 129.7, 117.7, 114.1, 108.3, 103.7, 95.9, 18.7, 11.3.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₇H₂₈NSi) requires m/z 274.1986, found m/z 274.1986.

Characterisation data is consistent with literature reported values.¹⁸

3ah: ((2-Chlorophenyl)ethynyl)triisopropylsilane

Prepared according to General Procedure A using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et₃N (38 μL, 0.275 mmol, 1.1 equiv), 2-chloro-iodobenzene (30.5 μL, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and (triisopropylsilyl)acetylene (58.9 μL, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to purification by reverse phase chromatography (C18 cartridge, 20–100% MeCN in water) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (47.7 mg, 65%).

ν_{\max} (liquid film): 2945, 2867, 2163, 1472, 1225 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.51 (dd, $J = 7.5, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, $J = 7.9, 0.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.23 (td, $J = 7.7, 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.19 (td, $J = 7.5, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 1.15 (s, 21H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 136.5, 133.9, 129.4, 126.4, 123.6, 103.3, 96.9, 18.8, 11.5.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}]$ ($\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{ClSi}$) requires m/z 292.1414, found m/z 292.1431.

5.6. Cyrene homo-aldol adducts, 4a and 4b

To a stirred solution of Cyrene (256 mg, 2.0 mmol, 1 equiv) was added DBU (30 mg, 0.2 mmol, 0.1 equiv) and the mixture heated to 100 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 10 minutes. The resulting mixture was cooled to 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ giving a viscous brown oil and then kept at 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 72 hours over which time the mixture began to crystallise. The mixture was then purified by flash chromatography (30% EtOAc/hexanes to EtOAc) to give **4a** as a colourless oil (40 mg, 16%).




ν_{\max} (neat): 3470, 2962, 2895, 1731 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 5.71 (s, 1H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 4.73-4.70 (m, 1H), 4.44-4.41 (m, 1H), 4.01 (br d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.91 (ddd, $J = 7.4, 4.9, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.76 (ddd, $J = 7.1, 5.1, 0.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.35 (dd, $J = 12.0, 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.72 (s, 1H), 2.27 (dddd, $J = 13.3, 12.0, 3.7, 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.96 (ddd, $J = 13.3, 7.4, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 1.64-1.59 (m, 3H), 1.50-1.46 (m, 1H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 203.7, 102.9, 101.6, 73.6, 73.2, 72.9, 68.4, 67.9, 42.7, 32.6, 26.7, 25.1.

ESI-MS: m/z 257 (50, $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$), 279 (100, $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]$).



To an oven-dried 5 mL microwave vessel was added K_3PO_4 (637 mg, 3 mmol, 3 equiv). The vessel was then capped and purged with N_2 before addition of THF (4 mL, 0.25 M), and Cyrene (123 μL , 1 mmol, 1 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated to 70 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and maintained at this temperature with stirring for 8 h before the vessel was vented, and decapped. The solution was then diluted with EtOAc (20 mL), and washed with water (2×20 mL) and brine (2×20 mL). The organics were then passed through a hydrophobic frit and concentrated under reduced pressure to give an off white solid, which was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0–50% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (105 mg, 88%).

ν_{\max} (solid): 2898, 1703, 1621, 1098 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 6.76 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H), 4.79 (t, $J = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.60 (t, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.94 – 3.83 (m, 4H), 2.78 (dd, $J = 16.3, 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.56 (d, $J = 16.3$ Hz, 1H), 2.41-2.24 (m, 2H), 2.14-2.07 (m, 1H), 1.75 (dd, $J = 13.5, 6.5$ Hz, 1H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 190.7, 151.0, 123.4, 101.5, 97.2, 72.6, 72.5, 68.7, 67.8, 34.1, 28.8, 20.4.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}]$ ($\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{14}$) requires m/z 238.0841, found m/z 238.0839.

5.7. Products from Scheme 3

7a: 2-Phenyl-1-tosyl-1H-indole



Prepared according to General Procedure B using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (104 μL , 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), N-(2-iodophenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (93 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–15% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (78.4 mg, 90%).

Prepared according to General Procedure B using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), DMF (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (104 μL , 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), N-(2-iodophenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (93 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–15% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (78.6 mg, 91%).


ν_{max} (solid): 3073, 1368, 1169 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 8.33 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.45 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 144.5, 142.2, 138.3, 134.7, 132.4, 130.7, 130.4, 129.2, 128.7, 127.5, 126.8, 124.8, 124.3, 120.7, 116.7, 113.4, 21.5.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{18}\text{NO}_6\text{S}$) requires m/z 348.1058, found m/z 348.1061. Characterization data is consistent with literature reported values.³

7b: 5-Nitro-2-phenyl-1-tosyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine




Prepared according to General Procedure B using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (104 μL , 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), N-(3-iodo-5-nitropyridin-2-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (104 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–30% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as an off white solid (71.4 mg, 73%).

ν_{max} (solid): 3070, 2935, 1593, 1517, 1394, 1346, 1184 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 9.32 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.55–7.48 (m, 5H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 151.3, 145.8, 145.8, 141.4, 140.3, 135.3, 131.5, 129.9, 129.6, 129.6, 128.2, 127.9, 124.3, 121.4, 108.3, 21.7.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_3\text{O}_4\text{S}$) requires m/z 394.0862, found m/z 394.0869.

7c: 2-Phenylbenzofuran

Prepared according to General Procedure B using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (104 μL , 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), 2-iodophenol (55 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and phenylacetylene (28.8 μL , 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–1% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (43.3 mg, 89%).


ν_{max} (solid): 3038, 2924, 2855 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): δ 7.88 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (s, 1H).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 126 MHz): δ 155.9, 154.9, 130.5, 129.2, 128.8, 128.6, 124.9, 124.3, 122.9, 120.9, 111.2, 101.3

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}]$ ($\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$) requires m/z 194.0732, found m/z 194.0737.

Characterization data is consistent with literature reported values.¹⁹

7d: (1-Tosyl-1H-indol-2-yl)boronic acid, MIDA ester

Prepared according to General Procedure B using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (104 μL , 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), N-(2-iodophenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (93 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–80% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (87.4 mg, 82%).

ν_{max} (solid): 2928, 1763, 1450, 1176, 1038 cm^{-1} .


^1H NMR ($\text{DMSO}-d_6$, 500 MHz): δ 8.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J = 13.1, 8.0 Hz, 3H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 2H), 4.23 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 2H), 2.96 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR ($\text{DMSO}-d_6$, 126 MHz): δ 169.6, 145.72, 138.9, 135.5, 130.4, 130.1, 127.08, 125.7, 123.9, 122.2, 122.0, 114.7, 64.8, 49.9, 21.5. Carbon bearing boron not observed.

^{11}B NMR ($\text{DMSO}-d_6$, 160 MHz): δ 10.28.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{20}\text{BN}_2\text{O}_6\text{S}$) requires m/z 427.1139, found m/z 427.1139.

Characterization data is consistent with literature reported values.⁴

7e: (5-Fluoro-1-tosyl-1H-indol-2-yl)boronic acid, MIDA ester

Prepared according to General Procedure B using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (104 μL , 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), N-(4-fluoro-2-iodophenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (98 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–80% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (87.4 mg, 82%).

acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–80% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (98 mg, 88%).

ν_{\max} (solid): 2930, 1750, 1305, 1174, 1040 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 500 MHz): δ 8.13 (dd, J = 9.2, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (td, J = 9.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 2H), 4.24 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 2H), 2.96 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 126 MHz): δ 169.6, 159.3 (d, $^1J_{\text{CF}}$ = 238.2 Hz), 145.9, 135.4, 135.3, 131.2 (d, $^3J_{\text{CF}}$ = 10.4 Hz), 130.5, 127.1, 121.9, 116.1 (d, $^3J_{\text{CF}}$ = 9.4 Hz), 113.5 (d, $^2J_{\text{CF}}$ = 25.5 Hz), 107.1 (d, $^2J_{\text{CF}}$ = 23.5 Hz), 64.8, 49.9, 21.5. Carbon bearing boron not observed.


^{11}B NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 160 MHz): δ 10.09.

^{19}F NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 471 MHz): δ -120.04.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}]$ ($\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{18}\text{BF}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}_6\text{SNa}$) requires m/z 444.2966, found m/z 444.0951.

Characterization data is consistent with literature reported values.⁴

7f: (6-Chloro-1-tosyl-1H-indol-2-yl)boronic acid, MIDA ester



Prepared according to General Procedure B using $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %), CuI (1.9 mg, 0.01 mmol, 4 mol %), Cyrene (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), Et_3N (104 μL , 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv), N-(4-chloro-2-iodophenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (102 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), and ethynyl boronic acid, MIDA ester (47.5 mg, 0.263 mmol, 1.05 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification method outlined in the General Procedure (silica gel, 0–80% EtOAc in petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a white solid (116 mg, quant.).

ν_{\max} (solid): 2922, 1763, 1455, 1267, 1173, 1038 cm^{-1} .

^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 500 MHz): δ 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 2H), 4.23 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H).

^{13}C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 126 MHz): δ 169.6, 146.1, 139.3, 135.2, 130.6, 130.4, 128.9, 127.0, 124.4, 123.4, 121.9, 114.4, 64.7, 49.9, 21.5. Carbon bearing boron not observed.

^{11}B NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 160 MHz): δ 10.21.

HRMS: exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{18}\text{ClN}_2\text{O}_6\text{SB}$) requires m/z 460.0671, found m/z 460.0658.

Characterization data is consistent with literature reported values.⁴

6. Crystallographic Data for Compound 4b

Single crystal diffraction measurements were made with an Oxford Diffraction Gemini S instrument. Refinement was to convergence against F^2 and used all unique reflections. Programs used were from the SHELX suite.²⁰ Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically whereas hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined in riding modes. Selected crystallographic and refinement parameters are given in Table 1. CCDC reference number CCDC 1485168 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. This data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Table S1 Selected crystallographic data and refinement parameters for compound **4b**.

Compound	4
Formula	C ₁₂ H ₁₄ O ₅
M _r (g mol ⁻¹)	238.23
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	P2 ₁
Temperature (K)	123(2)
<i>a</i> (Å)	6.4668(2)
<i>b</i> (Å)	9.8239(3)
<i>c</i> (Å)	8.5963(2)
β (°)	96.341(3)
<i>V</i> /Å ³	542.78(3)
<i>Z</i>	2
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073
Measured reflections	9884
Unique reflections	3457
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.03024
Observed rflns [<i>I</i> > 2σ(<i>I</i>)]	3286
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.114
No. of parameters	155
2θ _{max} (°)	63.8
<i>R</i> [on <i>F</i> , obs rflns only]	0.0329
<i>wR</i> [on <i>F</i> ² , all data]	0.0852
GoF	1.043
Largest diff. peak/hole/e Å ⁻³	0.242/−0.191


7. References

1. Armarego, W.; Chai, C. Purification of laboratory chemicals. Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2009.
2. Raja, G. C.; Irudayanathan, F. M.; Kim, H.-S.; Kim, J.; Lee, S. J. Org. Chem., **2016**, 81, 5244-5249.
3. Jang, Y. H.; Youn, S. W. Org. Lett., **2014**, 16, 3720-3723.
4. Seath, C. P.; Wilson, K. L.; Campbell, A.; Mowat, J. M.; Watson, A. J. B. Chem. Commun., **2016**, 52, 8703-8706.


5. Kakusawa, N.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kurita, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **2005**, 690, 2956-2966.
6. Dickson, D. P.; Toh, C.; Lunda, M.; Yermolina, M. V.; Wardrop, D. J.; Landrie, C. L. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2009**, 74, 9535-9538.
7. Gallop, C. W. D.; Chen, M.-T.; Navarro, O. *Org. Lett.*, **2014**, 16, 3724-3727.
8. Goodman, A. J.; Stanforth, S. P.; Tarbit, B. *Tetrahedron*, **1999**, 55, 15067-15070.
9. Zarwell, S.; Dietrich, S.; Schulz, C.; Dietrich, P.; Michalik, F.; Rück-Braun, K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **2009**, 2088-2095.
10. Hyodo, K.; Suetsugu, M.; Nishihara, Y. *Org. Lett.*, **2014**, 16, 440-443.
11. Stefani, H. A.; Cella, R.; Dörr, F. A.; de Pereira, C. M. P.; Gomes, F. P.; Zeni, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2005**, 46, 2001-2003.
12. Qian, D.; Zhang, J. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, **2011**, 7, 808-812.
13. Chahdoura, F.; Pradel, C.; Gómez, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, **2013**, 355, 3648-3660.
14. Li, X.; Liu, X.; Chen, H.; Wu, W.; Qi, C.; Jiang, H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2014**, 53, 14485-14489.
15. Cai, R.; Lu, M.; Aguilera, E. Y.; Xi, Y.; Akhmedov, N. G.; Peterson, J.; Chen, H.; Shi, X. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2015**, 54, 8772-8776.
16. Strappaveccia, G.; Luciani, L.; Bartollini, E.; Marrocchi, A.; Pizzo, F.; Vaccaro, L. *Green Chem.*, **2015**, 17, 1071-1076.
17. Struble, J. R.; Lee, S. J.; Burke, M. D. *Tetrahedron*, **2010**, 66, 4710-4718.
18. Kanno, H.; Nakamura, K.; Noguchi, K.; Shibata, Y.; Tanaka, K. *Org. Lett.*, **2016**, 18, 1654-1657.
19. Rubio-Marqués, P.; Rivero-Crespo, M. A.; Leyva-Pérez, A.; Corma, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2015**, 137, 11832-11837.
20. Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta Cryst.*, **2008**, 64, 112-122.

8. NMR spectra for intermediates and products


¹H NMR of S1




¹³C NMR of S1




¹H NMR of S2




¹³C NMR of S2




¹H NMR of 3a




^{13}C NMR of 3a



^1H NMR of 3b




¹³C NMR of 3b




¹⁹F NMR of 3b




¹H NMR 3c




¹³C NMR 3c




¹H NMR 3d




¹³C NMR 3d




¹H NMR 3e




¹³C NMR 3e




¹H NMR 3f




¹³C NMR 3f




¹H NMR 3g




¹³C NMR 3g




¹H NMR 3h




¹³C NMR 3h




¹H NMR 3i




¹³C NMR 3i




¹H NMR 3j




¹³C NMR 3j




¹H NMR 3k




¹³C NMR 3k




¹H NMR 3l




¹³C NMR 3l




¹H NMR 3m




¹³C NMR 3m




¹H NMR 3n




¹³C NMR 3n




¹H NMR 3o




¹³C NMR 3o




¹H NMR 3p




¹³C NMR 3p




¹H NMR 3q




¹³C NMR 3q




¹¹B NMR 3q




¹H NMR 3r




¹³C NMR 3r




¹H NMR 3s




¹³C NMR 3s




¹H NMR 3t




¹³C NMR 3t




¹H NMR 3u




¹³C NMR 3u




¹H NMR 3v




¹³C NMR 3v




¹H NMR 3w




¹³C NMR 3w




¹H NMR 3x




¹³C NMR 3x




¹H NMR 3y




¹³C NMR 3y




¹H NMR 3z




¹³C NMR 3z




¹⁹F NMR 3z




¹H NMR 3aa




¹³C NMR 3aa




¹H NMR 3ab




¹³C NMR 3ab




¹H NMR 3ac




¹³C NMR 3ac




¹¹B NMR 3ac




¹H NMR 3ad




¹³C NMR 3ad




¹¹B NMR 3ad




¹H NMR 3ae




¹³C NMR 3ae




¹¹B NMR 3ae





¹⁹F NMR 3ae





¹H NMR 3af




¹³C NMR 3af




¹⁹F NMR 3af




¹H NMR 3ag




¹³C NMR 3ag




¹H NMR 3ah




¹³C NMR 3ah




¹H NMR of 4a




¹³C NMR of 4a




¹H NMR 7a




¹³C NMR 7a




¹H NMR 7b




¹³C NMR 7b




¹H NMR 7c




¹³C NMR 7c




¹H NMR 7d




¹³C NMR 7d




¹¹B NMR 7d




¹H NMR 7e





¹³C NMR 7e





¹¹B NMR 7e




¹⁹F NMR 7e




¹H NMR 7f



¹³C NMR 7f




¹¹B NMR 7f




9. ^1H NMR Evidence for the Evaluation of the Base Sensitivity


Cyrene ^1H NMR




KOAc 25 °C




KOAc 50 °C




KOAc 100 °C




Pyridine 25 °C




Pyridine 50 °C




Pyridine 100 °C




K₂CO₃ 25 °C




K_2CO_3 50 °C




K_2CO_3 100 °C




DIPEA 25 °C




DIPEA 50 °C




DIPEA 100 °C




Cs₂CO₃ 25 °C




Cs_2CO_3 50 °C




Cs_2CO_3 100 °C




Et₃N 25 °C




Et₃N 50 °C




Et₃N 100 °C




K₃PO₄ 25 °C




K_3PO_4 50 °C




K_3PO_4 100 °C




DBU 25 °C




DBU 50 °C




DBU 100 °C




KOH 25 °C




KOH 50 °C




KOH 100 °C




t-BuOK 25 °C




t-BuOK 50 °C




t-BuOK 100 °C



NaH 25 °C



NaH 50 °C



NaH 100 °C

